IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DECEMBER 2007 / 22ND AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 12421 of 2007(D)

PETITIONER:

DESA SEVINI HANDLOOM WEAVERS INDUSTRIAL (PRODUCTION-CUM-SALE), CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LTD.NO.H.IND(T) 593, THETTIVILA, KALLIYOOR P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.

BY ADV. SRI.D.SOMASUNDARAM

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. GENERAL MANAGER, DISTRICT INDUSTRIES CENTRE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. DIRECTOR OF HANDLOOMS AND TEXTILES, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 13/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WPC.NO.12421/2007

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1 COPY OF THE REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY R1 DTD 21.6.05.

EXT.P2 COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DTD 28..2.06 OF R1.

EXT.P3 COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS NO.CS.11/57/04 DTD 22.3.07 OF R1.

EXT.P3(a) COPY OF THE LIST OF MEMBERS OF THE SOCIETY.

EXT.P4 COPY OF ANNUAL STOCK VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE SR. CO-OP.INSPECTOR.

EXT.P5 COPY OF THE INSPECTION REPORT DTD 15..2.2006.

EXT.P6 COPY OF THE ORDER IN CMP.29567/99 IN OP.7747/98-V DTD 16.8.99 OF THIS COURT.

EXT.P7 COPY OF THE RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE PETITIONER DTD 28.3.2007.

EXT.P8 COPY OF THE RELEVANT PORTION OF THE AUDIT CERTIFICATE.

/TRUE COPY/

cl

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

W.P.(C).NO.12421 OF 2007 - D

Dated this the 13th day of December, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The issue raised in this writ petition is covered in favour of the petitioner as per the judgments in O.P.No.23210/1998 and W.P (C).No.11468 of 2007 and connected cases.

In the result, this writ petition is disposed of directing that the claims of the petitioner for financial assistance and all other benefits, as are available to Handloom Weavers' Co-operative Societies like the petitioner, shall be taken up and decided upon by the appropriate official respondents within an outer limit of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. It is further clarified that the delay shall not be a ground for refusing reliefs, if the petitioner is otherwise entitled to.

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHANAN,
JUDGE.

cl